

**MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP**  
RONALD S. KATZ (State Bar No. 85713)  
ROBERT D. BECKER (State Bar No. 160648)  
SHAWN G. HANSEN (State Bar No. 197033)  
GREG T. WARDER (State Bar No. 209966)  
IMRAN A. KHALIQ (State Bar No. 232607)  
EDWARD S. QUON (State Bar No. 214197)  
1001 Page Mill Road, Building 2  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1006  
Telephone: (650) 812-1300  
Facsimile: (650) 213-0260

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
**VISTO CORPORATION**

**DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP**  
SRI K. SANKARAN (State Bar No. 236584)  
555 California Street, Suite 1000  
San Francisco, CA 94104-1513  
Telephone: (415) 781-1989  
Facsimile: (415) 398-3249

*Attorneys for Defendant*  
**SPROQIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

VISTO CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

SPROQIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. C 04-0651 EMC

**JOINT SUBMISSION REGARDING  
FURTHER CONFERENCE ON CLAIM  
CONSTRUCTION**

As Instructed by the Court at the July 6, 2006 hearing, the parties have conferred with regard to the following claim terms: “*initiating steps \_\_ and \_\_ from within the firewall*,”<sup>1</sup> “means for translating between the first format and the second format,” and “first format”/“second format”.

---

<sup>1</sup> It is Visto’s understanding that the Court instructed the parties at the claim construction hearing to meet and confer regarding the term “initiating” only, and that the remaining language of this phrase was not an issue to be considered for supplemental briefing.

1 The parties have been unable to reach agreement on any of these terms, and will set forth  
2 their positions with regard to these terms in separate submissions of no more than 4 pages each, to  
3 be filed by 7:00 p.m. on Monday, July 24.

4 Respectfully submitted,

5  
6 DATED: July 24, 2006

MANATT PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

7  
8  
9 By: /s/ Greg Warder  
GREG WARDER  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
VISTO CORPORATION

11  
12 DATED: July 24, 2006

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

13  
14  
15 By: /s/ Sri K. Sankaran  
SRI K. SANKARAN  
16 Attorneys for Defendant  
SPROQIT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

17 *Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, Sri K.*  
18 *Sankaran hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.*

19 20162415.1  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

JOINT SUBMISSION

CASE NO. C 04-0651 EMC